

T-odd correlations in charged $K_{\ell 4}$ decays

ALESSANDRA RETICO

Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

*INFN, Sezione di Roma and Dipartimento di Fisica,
Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, P.le A. Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy*

Abstract

We analyse the sensitivity to physics beyond the SM of T-odd correlations in $K_{\ell 4}$ decays, which do not involve the lepton polarization. We show that a combined analysis of $K_{\mu 4}^+$ and $K_{\mu 4}^-$ decays can lead to new constraints about CP violation in $\Delta S = 1$ charged-current interactions, complementary to those obtained from the transverse muon polarization in $K_{\mu 3}$ and of comparable accuracy.

I. Charged kaon decays provide an ideal framework to study *direct* CP violation, or CP violation of pure $\Delta S = 1$ origin [1]. Within the Standard Model (SM), this is expected to be very suppressed (at the 10^{-5} – 10^{-6} level) in non-leptonic decays, such as $K^\pm \rightarrow (3\pi)^\pm$, and absolutely negligible in charged-current semileptonic decays, such as $K_{\ell 3}^\pm$ and $K_{\ell 4}^\pm$. These processes therefore offer a clean testing ground for non-standard scenarios, which could soon be studied with high precision both at KLOE [2] and NA48 [3], where a large statistics of both K^+ and K^- fluxes is expected.

The simplest CP-violating observable in charged kaon decays is the charge asymmetry of the decay widths, $\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(K^- \rightarrow \bar{f})$, or, equivalently, the charge asymmetry of any T-even differential decay distribution. However, these observables can be different from zero only in the presence of at least two weak amplitudes with different final-state interaction (FSI) phases. In order to avoid this condition, which provides a severe model-independent suppression of charge asymmetries in non-leptonic modes [1] (and would prevent the study of CP violation in $K_{\ell 3}$), CP violation can be tested by means of T-odd correlations. The most well-known example of such correlations is the transverse muon

polarization in $K_{\mu 3}^+$, $P_\mu^T = \langle \vec{\sigma}_\mu \cdot (\vec{p}_\mu \times \vec{p}_\pi) / |\vec{p}_\mu \times \vec{p}_\pi| \rangle$, which has been extensively discussed in the literature [4] (see also [5] and references therein) and has been found to be consistent with zero below the 10^{-2} level [6].

Contrary to the $K_{\ell 3}$ case, $K_{\ell 4}$ modes offer the possibility to study T-odd correlations even without measuring the lepton polarization, which would not be accessible in experiments such as KLOE and NA48. In particular, the expectation value of the triple product $\vec{p}_\ell \cdot (\vec{p}_{\pi_1} \times \vec{p}_{\pi_2})$ provides a T-odd correlation accessible in $K_{\ell 4}$ decays only. In general a non-vanishing T-odd correlation does not necessarily imply an evidence of CP violation: this is true only in the absence of FSI phases. The latter are known to be extremely suppressed in $K_{\mu 3}^+$ [7], but $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$ strong phases are certainly present in $K_{\ell 4}$. However, this problem can be overcome by the analysis of both K^+ and K^- modes, whose T-odd correlations can be combined to construct a pure CP-violating observable. Therefore this study appears particularly appealing for high statistics experiments with both K^+ and K^- fluxes, such as KLOE and NA48.

In this letter we present a general analysis of the above-mentioned T-odd correlation in $K_{\ell 4}$ decays. We shall compare the sensitivity of this observable with respect to P_μ^T in testing the most general structure of $\Delta S = 1$ charged currents. As we shall show, $K_{\ell 4}$ decays can be used to extract new information about non-standard sources of CP violation.

II. Assuming purely left-handed neutrinos, the most general dimension-six effective Hamiltonian relevant to $K_{\ell 3}$ and $K_{\ell 4}$ decays can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{eff}} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{us}^* \sum_i C_i O_i + \text{h.c.} \quad (1)$$

where the operator basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} O_L^V &= \bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu u_L \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \ell_L, & O_R^V &= \bar{s}_R \gamma^\mu u_R \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \ell_L, \\ O_L^S &= \bar{s}_R u_L \bar{\nu}_L \ell_R, & O_R^S &= \bar{s}_L u_R \bar{\nu}_L \ell_R, \\ O_L^T &= \bar{s}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_L \bar{\nu}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell_R, & O_R^T &= \bar{s}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R \bar{\nu}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell_R. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Within the SM, all the C_i are negligible except for $C_L^V = 1$ and, in general, all the C_i can be assumed to be real in the absence of CP violation.

The hadronic matrix elements relevant to the $K_{\mu 3}^+$ decay can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^0(p_\pi) | \bar{s} \gamma^\mu u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(p_K + p_\pi)^\mu f_+(q^2) + (p_K - p_\pi)^\mu f_-(q^2)], \\ \langle \pi^0(p_\pi) | \bar{s} u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} m_K B_S(q^2), \\ \langle \pi^0(p_\pi) | \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= i \frac{B_T(q^2)}{\sqrt{2} m_K} (p_K^\mu p_\pi^\nu - p_K^\nu p_\pi^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $q^2 = (p_K - p_\pi)^2 = (p_\ell + p_\nu)^2$. The form factors are all real (neglecting tiny electromagnetic effects [7]) and their dependence on q^2 starts only beyond lowest order in the

chiral expansion (see e.g. Ref. [8]). Concerning scalar and vector form factors, the lowest order expressions, which already provide a reasonable approximation for our purposes, are given by¹

$$f_+ = 1, \quad f_- = 0, \quad B_S = \frac{m_K}{m_s + m_u} \approx 4. \quad (4)$$

The tensor form factor, which cannot be directly related to observable quantities or fundamental parameters (such as m_s), has recently been measured on the lattice obtaining $B_T = 1.23 \pm 0.09$ (with a negligible q^2 dependence) [10], in good agreement with the estimate $B_T \approx 1$ made in [11]. As the scalar and the tensor operators have non-null anomalous dimensions, B_S and B_T scale according to the renormalization group equations. The values given above refer to a renormalization scale around 2 GeV. With these notations the transverse muon polarization in $K_{\mu 3}^+$ is given by

$$P_\mu^T = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_\mu}{m_K} \left\langle \frac{\vec{p}_\nu \times \vec{p}_\mu}{E_\nu E_\mu} \text{Im} \xi \right\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\xi = \left[\left(1 - \frac{f_-}{f_+} \right) + \frac{m_K}{m_\mu} \frac{C_+^S B_S}{C_+^V f_+} + \frac{2p_K(p_\nu - p_\mu)}{m_\mu m_K} \frac{C_+^T B_T}{C_+^V f_+} \right] \times \left[1 - \frac{m_\mu}{m_K} \frac{C_+^T B_T}{C_+^V f_+} \right]^{-1} \quad (6)$$

and $C_\pm^i = C_R^i \pm C_L^i$. Neglecting the kinematical dependence of $\text{Im} \xi$, the experimental information on P_μ^T implies $\text{Im} \xi = -0.013 \pm 0.016$ or $|\text{Im} \xi| < 0.033$ (90% C.L.) [6]. Because of the chiral enhancement of the scalar matrix element, the most stringent constraints are obtained on the CP-violating phases of $C_{L,R}^S$: $|\text{Im} C_{L,R}^S| \lesssim 10^{-3}$ (assuming C_+^V to be real). This holds in the absence of cancellations between left-handed and right-handed terms. However, since the parity-violating combination $\text{Im} C_-^S$ is not accessible in $K_{\mu 3}$, in principle larger values of $\text{Im} C_L^S$ and $\text{Im} C_R^S$, such that $|\text{Im} C_-^S| \gg |\text{Im} C_+^S|$, cannot be excluded yet.

III. The hadronic matrix elements appearing in $K_{\ell 4}$ decays, within the SM, can be parametrized as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi^+(p_+) \pi^-(p_-) | \bar{s} \gamma^\mu u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= -\frac{H}{m_K^3} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} L^\nu P^\rho Q^\sigma, \\ \langle \pi^+(p_+) \pi^-(p_-) | \bar{s} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= \frac{-i}{m_K} [P_\mu F + Q_\mu G + L_\mu R], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $P_\mu = (p_+ + p_-)_\mu$, $Q_\mu = (p_+ - p_-)_\mu$, $L_\mu = (p_\ell + p_\nu)_\mu$ and the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ form factors H , F , G , R are complex functions of three independent kinematical variables. A precise experimental determination of these form factors has recently been obtained by the BNL-E865 Collaboration [12], updating the old result by Rosselet *et al.* [13].

¹ For the scalar form factor see e.g. Ref. [9] and for a complete next-to-leading [$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$] evaluation of the vector and axial form factors see e.g. Ref. [8].

Concerning the matrix element of non-standard contributions, not determined by experiments, we shall restrict our attention to those not vanishing at lowest order in the chiral expansion (expected to be dominant):

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \pi^+(p_+) \pi^-(p_-) | \bar{s} \gamma_5 u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= iS , \\ \langle \pi^+(p_+) \pi^-(p_-) | \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 u | K^+(p_K) \rangle &= \frac{T}{m_K^2} [p_-^\mu (p_+^\nu + p_K^\nu) - p_-^\nu (p_+^\mu + p_K^\mu)] .\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

The lowest-order results for S (see e.g. Ref. [8]) and T (see Ref. [11]) are given by

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{2}m_K}{3F_\pi} B_S , \quad T = \frac{m_K}{\sqrt{2}F_\pi} B_T , \quad (9)$$

but of course also these form factors acquire a non-trivial phase beyond lowest order.

The matrix element is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \sqrt{2}G_F V_{us}^* \left\{ \left[-C_+^V H \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \frac{L_\nu P_\rho Q_\sigma}{m_K^3} - iC_-^V \frac{P^\mu}{m_K} \left(F + 3 \frac{m_l}{m_K} \frac{C_-^T}{C_-^V} T \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad - iC_-^V \frac{Q^\mu}{m_K} \left(G + \frac{m_l}{m_K} \frac{C_-^T}{C_-^V} T \right) - iC_-^V \frac{L^\mu}{m_K} \left(R + 2 \frac{m_l}{m_K} \frac{C_-^T}{C_-^V} T \right) \left. \right] \bar{u}_L(p_\nu) \gamma_\mu v_L(p_l) \\ &\quad + i \left[C_-^S S - 2C_-^T T \frac{(p_l - p_\nu)(p_+ + p_K)}{m_K^2} \right] \bar{u}_L(p_\nu) v_R(p_l) \\ &\quad \left. - 4C_-^T T \frac{p_+^\mu p_K^\nu}{m_K^2} \bar{u}_L(p_\nu) \sigma_{\mu\nu} v_R(p_l) \right\} .\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

The interference between vector and scalar terms leads to the following T-odd term in the matrix element squared, summed over lepton polarizations:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\text{pol}} \mathcal{M}^2(K^+) \Big|_{\text{T-odd}} &= -16G_F^2 |V_{us}|^2 \frac{m_l}{m_K^2} \text{Im} \left\{ C_+^V H (C_-^S S)^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[1 - 2 \frac{(C_-^T T)^*}{(C_-^S S)^*} \frac{(p_l - p_\nu)(p_+ + p_K)}{m_K^2} \right] \right\} (\vec{p}_+ \times \vec{p}_-) \cdot \vec{p}_{l+} .\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

As can be noted, if the form factors S , T and H were all relatively real, this term would vanish in the absence of CP violation. In the CP-conjugate process, $K^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \nu$, the analogous interference term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\text{pol}} \mathcal{M}^2(K^-) \Big|_{\text{T-odd}} &= +16G_F^2 |V_{us}|^2 \frac{m_l}{m_K^2} \text{Im} \left\{ (C_+^V)^* H C_-^S (S)^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[1 - 2 \frac{C_-^T (T)^*}{C_-^S (S)^*} \frac{(p_l - p_\nu)(p_- + p_K)}{m_K^2} \right] \right\} (\vec{p}_+ \times \vec{p}_-) \cdot \vec{p}_{l-} .\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Thus if we neglect the highly suppressed tensor term quadratic in $(\vec{p}_+ - \vec{p}_-)$, the sum of these two T-odd correlations becomes a clear CP-violating observable.

The unpolarized differential decay rate of $K_{\ell 4}$ decays can generally be written as

$$d\Gamma = G_F^2 |V_{us}|^2 N(s_\pi, s_l) J_5(s_\pi, s_l, \theta_\pi, \theta_l, \phi) ds_\pi ds_l d(\cos \theta_\pi) d(\cos \theta_l) d\phi, \quad (13)$$

where the five independent kinematical variables are defined as in [8] and all the dynamical information is encoded in J_5 . Furthermore, from the experimental point of view it is convenient to decompose J_5 as [14]

$$\begin{aligned} J_5 = & 2(1 - z_l)[I_1 + I_2 \cos 2\theta_l + I_3 \sin^2 \theta_l \cos 2\phi + I_4 \sin 2\theta_l \cos \phi + I_5 \sin \theta_l \cos \phi + \\ & + I_6 \cos \theta_l + I_7 \sin \theta_l \sin \phi + I_8 \sin 2\theta_l \sin \phi + I_9 \sin^2 \theta_l \sin 2\phi], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

showing the explicit dependence on the leptonic variables θ_l and ϕ . The T-odd correlations (11) and (12) contribute to I_7 and, neglecting the suppressed contribution proportional to C_-^T , the CP-violating combination $[I_7(K_{\ell 4}^+) + I_7(K_{\ell 4}^-)]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_7(K_{\ell 4}^+) + I_7(K_{\ell 4}^-) = & \frac{m_\ell}{m_K} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_K^2, s_\pi, s_\ell)}{(1 - z_l)} \gamma \sqrt{s_\ell} \sqrt{s_\pi - 4m_\pi^2} \sin \theta_\pi \\ & \times \text{Re}(HS^*) |C_+^V|^2 \text{Im} \left(\frac{C_-^S}{C_+^V} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$[\gamma = (1 - \lambda(m_K^2, s_\pi, s_\ell)/(m_K^2 - s_\ell + s_\pi)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$. As expected, this observable is suppressed by the lepton mass and in practice can be studied only in the muon case. In these channels, I_7 would reach 20% of the dominant form factor (I_1) for $\text{Im}(C_-^S/C_+^V) \sim 1$. Thus in high-statistics experiments the possible bounds on $|\text{Im} C_-^S|$ could become competitive with the $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ bounds on $|\text{Im} C_-^S|$, derived from $P_\mu^T(K_{\mu 3}^+)$. Moreover, we recall that the two bounds are in principle independent, since we cannot exclude a priori a scenario where $|\text{Im} C_-^S| \gg |\text{Im} C_+^S|$.

IV. In this letter we have presented a general analysis of T-odd correlations accessible in $K_{\ell 4}$ decays, without the measurement of lepton polarization. As we have shown, in general these are not clean CP-violating observables, due to the large FSI phases affecting $K_{\ell 4}$ amplitudes. However, combining information from K^+ and K^- modes it is possible to construct a clean CP-violating observable, sensitive to non-standard $\Delta S = 1$ charged-current interactions. Future high-statistics experiments on $K_{\ell 4}$ decays could use this observable to put new constraints on exotic non-standard scenarios, such as R-parity violating supersymmetry, complementary to those obtained from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays [4].

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to G. Isidori for interesting suggestions and discussions.

References

- [1] G. D'Ambrosio and G. Isidori, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **13** (1998) 1 [arXiv:hep-ph/9611284].
- [2] F. Bossi [KLOE Collab.], talk presented at *Lepton Photon 01*, Rome, Italy, 23-28 Jul 2001, to appear in the proceedings.
- [3] R. Fantechi [NA48 Collab.], *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **93** (2001) 26.
- [4] M. Leurer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 1967; R. Garisto and G. Kane, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 2038; G. Belanger and C. Q. Geng, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 2789; M. Fabbrihesi and F. Vissani, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 5334 [arXiv:hep-ph/9611237].
- [5] M. V. Diwan and H. Ma, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16** (2001) 2449 [arXiv:hep-ex/0101003].
- [6] M. Abe *et al.* [KEK-E246 Collab.], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4253.
- [7] A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **31** (1980) 529 [*Yad. Fiz.* **31** (1980) 1024].
- [8] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, G. Ecker and J. Gasser, in *The Second DAΦNE Physics Handbook*, eds. L. Maiani, G. Pancheri and N. Paver (Frascati, 1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9411311].
- [9] G. Colangelo and G. Isidori, lectures given at *LNF Spring School in Nuclear Subnuclear and Astroparticle Physics*, Rome, Italy, 15-20 May 2000 [arXiv: hep-ph/0101264].
- [10] D. Becirevic, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli and F. Mescia [SPQcdR Collab.], *Phys. Lett. B* **501** (2001) 98 [arXiv:hep-ph/0010349].
- [11] G. Colangelo, G. Isidori and J. Portoles, *Phys. Lett. B* **470** (1999) 134 [arXiv:hep-ph/9908415].
- [12] S. Pislak *et al.* [BNL-E865 Collab.], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 221801 [arXiv:hep-ex/0106071].
- [13] L. Rosselet *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 574.
- [14] A. Pais and S. B. Treiman, *Phys. Rev. D* **168** (1968) 1858.